

**Response to 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) Rejection of Claims 1-7**

Claims 1-7 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by *Guo et al.* (EP Pat. No. 1 219 702). Applicants traverse the rejection and have amended claim 1. *Guo et al.* does not teach detergent compositions, only polymers useful for preparing multi-functional pellet additives. Applicants submit the invention as presented herein is patentable over *Guo et al.* of record.

**Response to 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) Rejection of Claims 1-7**

Claims 1-7 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by *Guo et al.* (U.S. Pat. No. 6,492,320). Applicants traverse the rejection and have amended claim 1. *Guo et al.* does not teach detergent compositions, only polymers useful for preparing multi-functional pellet additives. Applicants submit the invention as presented herein is patentable over *Guo et al.* of record.

**Double Patenting Rejection of Claims 1-7**

Applicants provisionally traverse the rejection and will deal with the issue at the time of allowance of the pending claims.

Please charge any fees associated with this response to Deposit Account No. 18-1850. Applicants invite the Examiner to contact the undersigned to discuss any issues related to this application by telephone.

Respectfully submitted,



Dr. Stephen E. Johnson  
Attorney/Agent for Applicants  
Reg. No. 45,916  
Telephone: (215) 619-5478  
Facsimile: (215) 619-1654

Rohm and Haas Company  
100 Independence Mall West  
Philadelphia, PA 19106-2399  
December 7, 2005